

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/673,961	12.	/15/2000	Andreas Bausewein	P00,1844	P00,1844 8768	
7:	590	08/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Baker Botts LLP 30 Rockerfeller Plaza				SINES, BRIAN J		
New York, NY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1743		
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A								
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/673,961	BAUSEWEIN ET AL.						
,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Brian J. Sines	1743	1					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	is					
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension ce action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	endment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-8.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Clifford (U.S. Pat. No. 4,542,540) discloses the apparatus structure positively recited in claims 1 - 4, 6 and 8. Clifford does disclose the incorporation of gas sensors having a porous structure, as taught by Taguchi (U.S. Pat. No. 3,625,756) (see Clifford: col. 7, lines 9 - 24; & Taguchi: col. 2, lines 6 - 20). Clifford does teach that the different sensors of the array have different sensitivities to the selected gases, which implies that the different sensors have differing catalyst compositions and activities (see, e.g., col. 7, lines 58 - 67). Furthermore, regarding claim 7, Meixner et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,101,865) do teach the use of strontium titanate as a gas-sensitive element (see col. 3, lines 1 - 5).

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700